



SAC FACILITIES MEETING MINUTES

NOVEMBER 28, 2006

APPROVED 12/12/06

Administrators

President Erlinda Martinez
Noemi Kanouse, Committee Chair.
John Grindel
Bruce Bromberger
Sylvia Turner
Rhonda Langston (absent)

Sara Lundquist
Kathy Mennealy
Avie Bridges
Maria Sugranes
James Wooley (absent)

Faculty

Ray Hicks, faculty co-chair (absent)
Mary Ellen Bobp
Mary Majors
Jeff McMillan
Dana Pagett
Michelle Parolise
Valinda Tivenan
John Zarske

Alternates

David Guzman (S. Lundquist)

Classified

Mike Mugica
John Nastasi (absent)

Sean Small
vacant

I. Meeting Called to Order

Co-Chairs, Ms. Kanouse and Mr. Hicks called the meeting to order at 1:35 p.m.

II. Approval of Minutes

It was moved by Avie Bridges to approve the minutes. The motion was seconded by Michelle Parolise. The minutes were approved as written.

III. Measure E Expenditures Update

Ms. Kanouse reviewed current expenditures for projects currently in progress as a result of Measure E (attached). She noted that as of the last meeting the college expected Measure O to pass, however, it did not happen. Now the Facilities Committee must review Measure E projects and reconsider priorities. Ms. Kanouse reviewed each item and provided explanation as needed.

Ms. Kanouse explained that currently there is a balance of close to \$81 million of Measure E funds. It is now necessary to determine what projects will be completed based on priorities established by the committee.

It was noted by Dr. McMillan and confirmed by Ms. Kanouse that project number 3710 Garden Grove Center should be marked with an asterisk since it was a project placed on hold.

A discussion followed regarding District project 3709 to replace aging telephone and computer network. Ms. Kanouse explained that a large portion is related to infrastructure for Datatel processes.

IV. "S" Building Renovation Project

Ms. Kanouse reported that a meeting was held November 14 for all occupants of the S Building to discuss timeline, procedures and answer questions. Locations have been designated for all offices and departments. The President and Vice Presidents will be housed in the "A" Building. Counseling and Admissions & Records will be housed in the Johnson Center, and other offices will be relocated to various areas on campus. The project should be completed within three-four months.

V. Building Priorities – Measure "E"

Mr. Hicks explained that without the additional funds that Measure O would have provided, it is appropriate to review and reconsider priorities of current and future projects. But before priorities can be considered, the committee was asked to consider the criteria for setting the priorities.

Mr. Hicks reviewed each project on the Building Priorities Measure E list (attached), discussed the need for the project and the current status.

A long discussion followed and a summary of the criteria for determining priorities was established based upon safety to students and staff; specialized or general use classrooms; accreditation standards and licenses; and services to students for success. A perfect example of a building meeting such criteria is Phillips Hall which could cripple the instructional program or cause injury to staff and students if repairs are not addressed soon. It was suggested that Mr. Bromberger and Ms. Tivenan have a follow up meeting to review the safety issues in Phillips Hall.

It was determined by a general show of hands that Russell Hall, Hammond Hall and the Planetarium should be considered as one package for renovation and as such rise to the top of the priority list. It was moved by Dr. McMillan and seconded by Ms. Bridges. The motion failed since a vote was not taken.

When asked if a study had been done to determine the cost effectiveness for tearing down and rebuilding verses simply renovating, Ms. Kanouse explained that early reports indicated that the buildings are very solid and many need only to be cleaned up (especially the asbestos) and modernization of equipment in labs and classrooms.

Ms. Mennealy reported the safety issues at CEC and the need to address those issues urgently due to the structural capacity of the buildings.

Mr. Grindel briefly reported on the issues related to the technical arts area and note the great potential for additional growth in those vocational fields if the issues were addressed; modernize could mean more FTE. The needs include simple carpet and paint, but more importantly professional equipment and renovation of rooms. It was also suggested that Boeing be contacted for the future development of programs that could also generate more FTE and support renovations that are needed in certain areas.

A general discussion followed about Johnson Center being a staging area while other areas are renovated, but then it will need to be addressed to provide services and experiences for the students. While Johnson Center can not be put ahead of instructional programs it was requested that it remain on the priority list knowing it will be done in the future. Also discussed was the domino affect of one area being renovated causing impact to an unsuspecting area such as work in the Library affecting Media Services which is housed at the rear of the Library building.

In order to gain additional funds for project number 3701 Renovation of 14 buildings, it was suggested that the "church" be remodeled to accommodate the Child Development Center instead of a new building elsewhere on campus allowing the soccer field to then be relocated. This would have a positive domino affect on other projects; freeing up needed funds.

VI. Next Meeting

At the suggestion of Co-Chair Mr. Hicks, the Committee agreed to meet again to conclude discussion and approve criteria for setting priorities. The meeting will replace the Budget Meeting in two weeks.

VII. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 3:10 p.m.