



SAC BUDGET MEETING
MINUTES –MAY 4, 2010
SAC FOUNDATION BOARD ROOM
1:30P.M. – 3:00P.M.

Approved June 1, 2010

The mission of Santa Ana College is to be a leader and partner in meeting the intellectual, cultural, technological, workforce and economic development needs of our diverse community. Santa Ana College prepares students for transfer, employment, careers and lifelong intellectual pursuit in a dynamic learning environment.

Administrators	Academic Senate	CLASSIFIED	Guests
Paul Foster, co-chair	Steve Bautista	Tom Andrews	
Norm Fujimoto	Matt Beyersdorf	Judy Arroyo (a)	
Sara Lundquist(a)	Andy Gonis	Joel Sheldon	
Ed Ripley	John Zarske	Student Rep.	
		Osvaldo Vences	
	Jeff McMillan, co-chair		
	Monica Porter		
	George Wright		
	Vacant Senate Position		

1. WELCOME		Meeting called to order – 1:34p.m.
2. MINUTES	DISCUSSION/COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	The March 2 minutes were presented to the committee for approval.	ACTION Motion was moved by G. Wright to approve the March 2, 2010 Budget Committee minutes as amended. 2 nd – N. Fujimoto Discussion ensued. Motion carried unanimously.
3. Budget Update	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
Paul Foster	<p>State Update</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ There is still no word out of Sacramento. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deficit still unknown ➤ State income tax revenue lower than projected. The May Revise will be released on May 14th <p>Update from the District.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ District is required by state law to have a tentative budget in place by July 1. ➤ Colleges have been advised to reduce the Older Adult Education program by \$600,000 which means a reduction of \$424,000 for SAC. ➤ District also looking for reduction resulting from the Negotiations. ➤ District hiring freeze has saved approximately \$9 million. ➤ District will rollover the existing budget for the 2010/11 Tentative Budget ➤ District is not in a panic mode, like other districts <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Positioned well due to measures taken early in this crisis ➤ District preparing for a \$7 million shortfall. Budget assumptions are being prepared through the BAPR committee. <p>Cabinet members have been advised to be considering areas for possible additional reductions.</p>	

Budget Update (cont.)	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	<p>The committee was reminded of their endorsement of the following areas to be considered for reduction should there be a need:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Re-evaluate high cost programs vs. low productivity programs. ➤ Duplication of programs and services at both colleges. 	
OLD BUSINESS		
4. District Budget Model Update	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
<p>Jeff McMillan</p>	<p>Budget Allocation Model Working Draft #3</p> <p>Jeff presented the current draft of the Budget Allocation Model. The draft reflects recommendations that have been made throughout the assessment process of the model by the BAPR Work Group. Those items were reflected in bold print and were reviewed by the committee.</p> <p>Under REVENUE in the Introductory section of the Model, the committee discussed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The intent and use of the word “majority” vs. using “maximum.” ➤ What is the definition of the term “Educational Services?” <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Educational Services should be defined as a priority and be strictly related to services within the classroom, programs and student services. <p>Discretionary vs. Fixed Costs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Better definition of terms ➤ Better clarity of how they are allocated to the cost centers. ➤ Full time faculty = fixed costs Part-Time Faculty=discretionary <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Difficult to balance budget when the campus replaces a retired full time fixed faculty salary with part time discretionary faculty. <p>It was noted that SAC’s version of the budget allocation model has been presented to the Work Group. SAC version presents what the <u>original</u> budget allocation model was meant to do.</p> <p>Input from the committee will be taken back to the Work Group for discussion.</p> <p>The committee was presented with a list of concerns for their review that have resulted from a various discussions regarding the model.</p>	<p>ACTION</p> <p>Motion was moved by G. Wright that affirmed the concerns with the Current Budget Model document as presented and would like to see these concerns as goals addressed in the revised budget allocation model.</p> <p>2nd – S. Sotelo</p> <p>Discussion ensued. Motion carried unanimously.</p>

Adjourned – 2:58 p.m.
Next Meeting –Tuesday, June 1, 2010
1:30 – 3:00p.m.
SAC Foundation Board Room