



**SAC SPECIAL FACILITIES MEETING**  
**MINUTES**  
**March 6, 2008**

Approved 3/18/08

---

*The mission of Santa Ana College is to be a leader and partner in meeting the intellectual, cultural, technological, workforce and economic development needs of our diverse community. Santa Ana College prepares students for transfer, employment, careers and lifelong intellectual pursuit in a dynamic learning environment.*

---

**Administrators**

Norm Fujimoto(absent)  
John Grindel, Comm. Chair.  
Rhonda Langston(absent)  
Sara Lundquist(absent)  
Kathy Mennealy(absent)  
Wayne Nunnery  
Maria Sugranes (absent)  
Sylvia Turner

**Student Representation**

Hugo Campos (absent)

**Academic Senate**

Stephanie Fondren(absent)  
Ray Hicks  
Jeff McMillan  
Cathie Shaffer  
Karen Warner (absent)  
John Zarske, faculty co-chair(absent)

**Guests**

Avie Bridges  
Al Chin  
Monica Collins  
Larry Johnston  
Valinda Tivenan

**CSEA**

Mike Mugica (absent)  
John Nastasi  
Sean Small

**Security Supervisor**

James Wooley

---

**1. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS**

The meeting was called to order at 1:40p.m. by John Grindel.

Mr. Grindel opened the meeting by sharing with the committee the reason for calling the special Facilities meeting, specifically the replacement of the gym floor. Mr. Grindel noted that monies for the project would be taken care of by the district due to the safety issue involved with the current condition of the floor.

Ms. Bridges provided a brief overview of the floor and the prior measures taken to maintain the floor. She noted the costs factor of replacing the floor vs. the cost of sanding it down. The effects of sanding the floor would lead to more nail heads protruding through along with the uneven planks causing the floor to be unstable. She noted the current floor is 54 years old. In addition to those issues, she stated that the existing floor would not be able to physically support the pressure from the lift required to change the lighting. This replacement of the gym floor has been included as a recommendation within the portfolio planning for the division. The project is approximated at 8 weeks for completion between June and August and will not impact classes. Ms. Bridges had asked Larry Johnston, Athletic Director to research the project. Mr. Johnston shared additional information related to the project and provided members with a cost estimate that included the scope of work for the project. Mr. Johnston stated to members that Mr. Nunnery is handling the specifics and details of the project. The expectation is that there will be future meetings involving the end users to insure the project is well planned. Mr. Nunnery indicated to the committee that he had secured and an additional professional opinion through District Support Services and had reviewed the situation first hand. Members were reminded that this job would go through the normal bidding process.

A motion was made to recommend the replacement of the gym floor. McMillan/Hicks  
The motion was unanimously approved.

**2. Other**

A concern regarding the replacement of the gym floor vs. the replacement of the dance floor, stating the condition of the dance floor has been identified for approximately 5 years. Mrs. Turner reported that the project is under discussion. She had been in contact with Darryl Odum and Alex Oviedo regarding the project and the possibility of the project being supported through Scheduled Maintenance funds. Mr. Nunnery will review the dance floor to familiarize himself with the project.

A discussion ensued regarding the importance and need for a more efficient strategy for planning replacements and utilizing the portfolio process.

The following commentaries were asked to be included in the meeting minutes.

The requirement for approving replacement of the floor by means of a special facilities meeting is another example, similar to the fire alarms, that we have a dysfunctional system. The need for the floor work is nothing new and in fact has been known for years and yet it was not moved forward until serious potential safety concerns could no longer be ignored. The planning and scheduled maintenance system does not work until a potential crisis is on the horizon. We do nothing at all or are forced to overreact without the proper opportunity for dialog and discussion that functional shared governance requires.

A concern of caution regarding the equitability of needed projects for all departments was shared.

Adjournment at 2:00 p.m.