

SAC PLANNING & BUDGET MEETING

MINUTES – November 4, 2025 1:30PM – 3:00PM Zoom Meeting

Santa Ana College Mission Statement: Santa Ana College inspires, transforms, and empowers a diverse community of learners.

MEMBERSHIP					
Administrators		Academic Senate		Student Representatives	
Bart Hoffman, Co-chair	Tommy Strong, Co-ch	Tommy Strong, Co-chair		Julian Gonzalez	
Jim Kennedy	Claire Coyne	Reza Mirbeik Sabzevary	Jimmy Nguyen	Minh Le	
Jeffrey Lamb	John Zarske	Linda Sung	Liliana Oropeza		
Vaniethia Hubbard	Luis Pedroza	Silvia Castillo	Jessica Avalos		
Lorena Valencia	Kelly Nguyen	Merari Weber			
Kristi Blackburn					

Bold = Present

1. WELCOME &		Meeting called to order 1:31pm
INTRODUCTIONS		Meeting adjourned at 2:26pm
	Welcome and self-introductions were made.	
2. PUBLIC COMMENTS	DISCUSSION/COMMENTS	ACTIONS/FOLLOW UPS
	No public comments to report.	
3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES	DISCUSSION/COMMENTS	ACTIONS/FOLLOW UPS
	Approval of October 7, 2025 Minutes	Moved to approve by: Claire Coyne
	, pp. 6 va. 6 v 6 v 6 v 6 v 7 v 6 v 6 v 6 v 6 v 6 v	2 nd by: Tommy Strong
		Abstentions: Jimmy Nguyen
4. ACTION ITEMS	DISCUSSION/COMMENTS	ACTIONS/FOLLOW UPS
	No action items to report.	
5. UPDATES/REPORTS	DISCUSSION/COMMENTS	ACTIONS/FOLLOW UPS
	Quarter 1 Budget Performance Report – Mark Reynoso	
	A. Fund 11 (General Fund) – Quarter 1 Overview	
	Total Fund 11 Budget: ~\$143 million	

- Quarter 1 Expenditures: \$31 million
- Comparison to Prior Year:
 - o FY 24-25 Q1 spending: \$28 million
 - Both years at 22% of annual spending
 - o Increase attributed to a larger overall budget and instructional growth

B. Projected Year-End Outlook

- Projected Oct–June Expenditures: ~\$113 million
- Projected Total FY Expenditures: ~\$144 million
- Estimated Over-Budget Amount: \$885,000 (less than 1% of total budget)
- Trend is similar to previous year, when:
 - The college transferred \$1.7 million in electricity costs to Fund 13 to balance the budget.

C. Key Budget Drivers & Concerns

- 1. Hourly Instructional Accounts
 - Currently \$1.1–\$1.2 million higher than last year at this time
 - Increase expected due to:
 - New bargaining agreement
 - Salary increases
 - Projected Year-End Overage: ~\$7.4 million
- 2. Classified Salary Savings
 - Many classified vacancies (e.g., ~10 in M&O alone)
 - Savings may partially offset instructional overages
- 3. Monitoring & Adjustments
 - Finance will continue monitoring:
 - o Hourly instructional costs
 - Classified vacancies
 - Benefits costs
 - Possible year-end TOEs (Transfers of Expense) to Fund 13, depending on final expenditures

D. Classified Vacancies

- Claire Coyne asked for total number.
- Mark Reynoso has the data; estimated about 10 vacancies in M&O but will confirm full count.

FTES Funding Concern

- Tommy Strong raised awareness about the relationship between the \$7.4M overage and funded vs. unfunded FTES.
- Tommy cited Vice Chancellor Ingram's explanation from Town Hall:
 - Some unfunded FTES is normal, but excessive unfunded enrollment = costs without matching revenue.
 - Used the metaphor of having too many unplanned guests at a dinner party.

- Tommy noted that the projected overage (~\$885k) is less than 1% of budget and therefore manageable.
- Mark confirmed Tommy's comments are accurate.

Fund 13 – One-Time Funds / Carryover

A. Budget Overview

- Total Fund 13 Budget: ~\$15 million
- Institutional Contingency (restricted): \$3.7 million
- Usable Budget: ~\$11 million
- Q1 Expenditures: ~\$968,000 (approx. 6% of budget)
 - Lower than last year's 9% at Q1
 - Year still early

B. Expected Future Expenditures

- Significant expenses expected Oct–June due to:
 - o RAR (Resource Allocation Requests) approvals being processed
 - Facilities-related set-aside funds now being used
- Projected Year-End:
 - Ending Balance: ~\$4.3 million
 - Percent of Budget Spent: ~71% (down from last year's 87%)

C. Fund 13 Questions

- Claire Coyne confirmed that ongoing salaries should *not* be charged to Fund 13.
- Mark Reynoso confirmed—no ongoing salaries.
 - o However, RAR-funded temporary instructional assignments may appear.

Budget Priorities for Resource Allocation Request (RAR) Process

- A. First Read of 2025–26 Budget Priorities
 - These priorities:
 - o Are embedded in Program Review and RAR (Nuventive) forms
 - o Guide both requesters and approvers
 - o Ensure alignment with college goals and fiscal planning
 - Mark Reynoso will:
 - o Provide the document to Norma
 - $\circ\quad$ It will be attached to the agenda for full committee review

B. Committee Input

Guided Pathways / Equity Integration

- Claire Coyne suggested replacing or revising "Guided Pathways" language because:
 - o Original funding stream has ended
 - Current work is now merged into the Comprehensive Educational Plan and Equity initiatives
 - Bart Hoffman strongly supported the suggestion and noted it will be incorporated into the next draft and discussed again in December

C. Next Steps

- Committee members may:
 - Review the draft priorities
 - Send suggestions or edits to Norma
- Second read and final approval scheduled for December meeting

Resource Allocation Request (RAR) Summary

Process Overview

- Completion of 25–26 RAR Cycle
 - o Request and approval process is complete for FY 25–26.
 - Involves program review submissions, RAR entries, VP and President approvals.
 - o Emphasized as a long, detailed, multi-step process.

Total Requests Submitted

- Approx. \$116 million requested
 - o Academic Affairs: \$7.4M
 - Student Services: \$700K
 - o CEC: \$107M (includes a single \$100M facilities improvement request)
 - o President's Office: \$849K
 - o Administrative Services: \$361K

Total Approvals

- Approx. \$ million approved
 - o Academic Affairs: \$1.1M
 - Student Services: \$410K
 - o CEC: \$1.4M
 - Includes ~\$700K personnel funding approved at start of year.
 - o Administrative Services: \$260K

Additional Funding Beyond RAR

- Strong Workforce + CTEA: ~\$2.9M
- Equity one-time funds: ~\$319K
- Total Funding Across All Sources: ~\$6.4M for FY 25–26

Process Reminders & Next Steps

- Approved RARs and budget account numbers posted on the Admin Services website.
- Requesters advised to submit purchase requisitions early to avoid delays and budget adjustments.
- College-wide announcement email already sent.

Large Facility Requests (CEC \$100M)

- There was concern whether RAR is an appropriate place to record very large longterm facilities needs.
- John Zarske stated large requests may belong in the Facilities Master Plan rather

	Categorical Funds (Academic Senate Inquiry) – Mark Reynoso Fund 12 Overview (Categorical + Restricted Funds)	
7. UNFINISHED BUSINESS	DISCUSSION/COMMENTS	ACTIONS/FOLLOW UPS
	No periodic reports to report.	
6. PERIODIC REPORTS	DISCUSSION/COMMENTS	
	No student update to report.	
STUDENT UPDATE	DISCUSSION/COMMENTS	ACTIONS/FOLLOW UPS
	than RAR. • James Kennedy agreed and plans to remove the \$100M request from next year's cycle. • Mark Reynoso stated: ○ Program review requires documenting needs somewhere. ○ Significant facility needs may increasingly appear in program reviews. ○ Long-term requests will go through Facilities & Safety → Planning → President's Cabinet. Equity & CEWD Funding Transparency • Claire Coyne asked where can staff view approval summaries for Equity and CEWD funds? • Response: ○ Strong Workforce and CTEA: totals gathered internally, not always posted. ○ Equity: approvals usually emailed to individual requesters. ○ Mark will check whether a consolidated summary exists. Facilities Master Plan Concerns • Kristi Blackburn stated aging buildings cannot support technology needs and impact enrollment competitiveness. • Current RAR requests (~\$100K annually) do not address long-term, large-scale needs. • Requests a structured long-range facilities plan rather than yearly "patchwork." • Bart Hoffman confirmed District Facilities Planning typically leads master plan updates. • Bart will communicate need to update or "dust off" the Facilities Master Plan and acknowledged its importance, especially with no bond measure expected soon. RAR Deadlines & SOP Changes • John Steffens' reminded the committee and guests that faculty RARs for personnel, technology, and facilities are due in November, not June. • He is concerned that some faculty are unaware of deadline changes. • Mark Reynoso confirmed categories with November deadlines.	

	Total Fund 12 budget: \$57M	
	o 15% Federal: \$8.4M	
	o 80% State: \$45M	
	o 5% Local: \$3M	
	Fund 12 Personnel Breakdown	
	Management: \$4M (7%)	
	• Faculty: \$11M (20%)	
	• Classified: \$20M (35%)	
	Share of Total Institutional Funds	
	 Fund 12 = 27% of combined Funds 11, 12, and 13. 	
	Tommy Strong appreciated transparency and visuals and highlighted this discussion	
	as shared governance in action.	
	 Tommy noted Fund 12 represents ~25% of total funding; 80% of it is state-funded. 	
	Tommy requested clarity on how the 80% state allocation is distributed and	
	managed.	
8. NEW BUSINESS	DISCUSSION/COMMENTS	ACTIONS/FOLLOW UPS
8. NEW BOSHNESS		ACTIONS/TOLLOW 013
	No new business to report.	
9. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS		
	Goals Work Group & Committee Updates	
	 Next Goals Work Group Meeting has been scheduled for November 6, 2025. 	
	Progress on Goals	
	 Bart stated the first step is to finalize goals. 	
	 He stated currently, only Section 1 completed out of 4 sections. 	
	 Next steps: First and second reads of goals, followed by discussion of task 	
	assessment on committee calendar.	
GENERAL INFORMATION		
	Fiscal Resources Committee	
	Next meeting scheduled for December 2, 2025.	
	Fiscal Resources Committee (rsccd.edu)	
	New Resource for Program Review – John Steffens	
	Overview	
	 John introduced a pilot bot to support administrators in program review. 	
	 John introduced a pilot bot to support administrators in program review. Available via Nuventive resources page on the faculty and staff homepage. 	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	 Available via Nuventive resources page on the faculty and staff homepage. 	

NEXT MEETING	December 2, 2025	
	 Mark Reynoso stated bot works "amazing," answering questions effectively, similar to ChatGPT. 	
	Feedback/Testimonial	
	 John plans to expand bot support for faculty after receiving feedback. Encouraged committee members to try it out and provide input. 	
	Next Steps	
	 Administrative use of Nuventive 	
	 Mapping RAR requests to program review 	
	 RAR processes and deadlines 	
	o FMRs	
	Can respond to questions on:	
	Administrative templates for program review	
	Nuventive software system	
	 Facilities Modification Request (FMR) process 	

Submitted by Norma Castillo